

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/845,636	RUSSO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wei Y. Zhen	2191

All Participants:

(1) Wei Y. Zhen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Rodney M. Anderson, Reg No. 31,939.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd rejections

Claims discussed:

13-15, 5 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called and proposed amendment to claim 13-15 to overcome potential 112 2nd rejection for lack of antecedent basis; Examiner also proposed amendment to claims 5 and 8 to correct minor informalities. Applicant agreed and gave the examiner the permission to make these amendments to the claims via Examiner's amendment..